



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/465,994 | 12/16/1999 | TAO YE | SUN1P507 | SUN1P507 9656 | |
| 22434 75 | 590 01/09/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP | | | PHAM, THOMAS K | | |
| P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY. (| CA 94704-0778 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| , | | | 2121 | 1) 1 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/09/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | n | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/465,994 | YE ET AL. | | | | |
| | Examin r | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Thomas K Pham | 2121 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cov r sheet with th | orrespond nce add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applice in a timely filed amendment whi | cation. A proper re ch places the appli | ply to a cation in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S | See MPEP | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in | fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or | tension fee under (2) as set forth in | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFl | | | | | | |
| $2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | pelow); | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or s | simplifying the | | | |
| (d) \square they present additional claims without cancel | ing a corresponding number of | finally rejected clair | ms. | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | tion(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely file | d amendment | | | |
| 5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does NO | OT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | ere newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | and an | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: 20. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: 23-25. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19,21,22 and 26-29</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| B.⊠ The drawing correction filed on <u>29 December 2003</u> is a)⊠ approved or b)□ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | · // | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Continuation Sh et (PTOL-303) 09/465,994

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The terms "means for" and "a processor" in the newly added claims raised new issues that would required further consideration and/or search.

ANIL KHATRI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER